

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	)	
	)	
v.	)	Criminal No. 18-30013-MGM
	)	
ANTHONY ROBERTSON,	)	
Defendant	)	

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION  
CONCERNING PLEA OF GUILTY

Defendant Anthony Robertson, by consent, has appeared before me pursuant to Fed. R. Crim P. 11, and Rule 5 of the Rules for United States Magistrate Judges in the District of Massachusetts, and has entered a plea of guilty to Count I of the Indictment. After cautioning and examining Mr. Robertson under oath concerning each of the subjects mentioned in Rule 11, I determined that the guilty plea was knowledgeable and voluntary and that the offense charged is supported by an independent basis in fact establishing each of the essential elements of such offense. I therefore recommend that the plea of guilty be accepted and that Defendant be adjudged guilty and have sentence imposed accordingly.<sup>1</sup>

DATED: May 24, 2019

/s/ Katherine A. Robertson  
KATHERINE A. ROBERTSON  
U.S. Magistrate Judge

---

1. Defendant is hereby advised that, under the provisions of Rule 3(b) of the Rules for United States Magistrates in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts, any party who objects to these findings and recommendations must file a written objection with the Clerk of this Court **within fourteen (14) days** service of this Report and Recommendation. The written objection must specifically identify the portion of the proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made and the basis for such objection. Defendant is further advised that failure to comply with this rule shall preclude further appellate review by the Court of Appeals of the District Court order entered pursuant to this Report and Recommendation. *See Keating v. Secretary of Health & Human Services*, 848 F.2d 271, 275 (1st Cir. 1988); *United States v. Valencia-Copete*, 792 F.2d 4, 6 (1st Cir. 1986); *Scott v. Schweiker*, 702 F.2d 13, 14 (1st Cir. 1983); *United States v. Vega*, 678 F.2d 376, 378-379 (1st Cir. 1982); *Park Motor Mart, Inc. v. Ford Motor Co.*, 616 F.2d 603, 604 (1st Cir. 1980). *See also Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 154-55 (1985).